arXiv:1401.0775v3 [math.GR] 24 May 2014

# THE COMMUTATOR SUBGROUP AND THE INDEX FORMULA OF THE HECKE GROUP $H_5$

CHENG LIEN LANG AND MONG LUNG LANG

ABSTRACT. Let $A$ be an ideal of $\mathbb{Z}[2cos(\pi/5)]$ and let $H(A)$ be the principal congruence subgroup of level $A$ of the Hecke group $H_5$. The present article gives explicit formula of $[H_5 : H(A)]$. As a byproduct, we prove that the commutator subgroup of $H_5$ is not congruence. Consequently, the fact that the commutator subgroup of the modular group is congruence cannot be carried over to the Hecke groups.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Hecke groups. Let $q \geq 3$ be a a fixed integer. The (homogeneous) Hecke group $H_q$ is defined to be the maximal discrete subgroup of $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ generated by $S$ and $T$, where $\lambda_q = 2\cos(\pi/q)$,

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},\ T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \lambda_q \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{1.1}$$

Let $A$ be an ideal of $\mathbb{Z}[\lambda_q]$. We define three congruence subgroups of $H_q$ as follows.

$$H_0(A) = \{(a_{ij}) \in H_q \,:\, a_{21} \in A\}, \tag{1.2}$$

$$H_1(A) = \{(a_{ij}) \in H_q \,:\, a_{11} - 1, a_{22} - 1, a_{21} \in A\}, \tag{1.3}$$

$$H(A) = \{(a_{ij}) \in H_q \,:\, a_{11} - 1, a_{22} - 1, a_{12}, a_{21} \in A\}. \tag{1.4}$$

Let $Z_2 = \langle \pm I \rangle$. The (inhomogeneous) Hecke group and its congruence subgroups are defined as $G_q = H_q/Z_2$, $G_0(A) = H_0(A)/Z_2$, $G_1(A) = H_1(A)Z_2/Z_2$, and $G(A) = H(A)Z_2/Z_2$. The present article determines the index $[H_5 : H(A)]$.

1.2. The obstruction. $H(A)$ is the kernel of the homomorphism $f : H_5 \to SL(2,\mathbb{Z}[\lambda]/A)$. In the case $A = (2)$, it is known that $f : H_5 \to SL(2,\mathbb{Z}[\lambda]/2) \cong A_5$ and that $[H_5 : H(2)] = 10$ (see [LLT2]). Consequently, the map $f$ is not always surjective and the finding of the index $[H_q : H(\pi)]$ is more involved. As a matter of fact, one will see in Section 6 that $f$ is surjective if and only if the norm of $A$ is prime to 6. Note that our result is in line with the modular group case only if $\gcd(N(A), 6) = 1$ (see (1.5)).

1.3. The plan and the main results. We consider the decomposition $A = \prod A_i$ where $N(A_i)$ is a power of some rational prime $p_i$ and $\gcd(N(A_i), N(A_j)) = 1$. To determine the index, we will prove in the following sections that

(i) $[H_5 : H(A)] = \prod [H_5 : H(A_i)]$ (Section 4),
(ii) $[H_5 : H(A_i)]$ can be expressed in terms of the norm $N(A_i)$ (Section 5).

(i) of th above is achieved by studying the normal subgroup $H(A_i)H(A_j)$. (ii) can be done by studying the group structure of $SL(2,\mathbb{Z}[\lambda]/A_i)$. Our main result shows that if $\gcd(N(\pi), 6) = 1$, then

$$[H_5 : H(2^a 3^b \pi)] = I_a J_b\, N(\pi)^3 \prod_{P|(\pi)} (1 - N(P)^{-2}, \tag{1.5}$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11F06.
*Key words and phrases.* Hecke groups, Congruence subgroups, independent generators, fundamental domain, special polygon.

where the product is over the set of all prime ideals that divide $\pi$, $I_0 = 1$, $I_1 = 10$, $I_a = 5 \cdot 2^{6(a-1)}$ if $a \geq 2$, $J_0 = 1$, $J_b = 120 \cdot 3^{6(b-1)}$ if $b \geq 1$. Our proof is elementary but involves some curial construction of matrices (see subsections 3.3 and 3.4). Note that our strategy works for other groups as well (see [LL2] for elementary matrix groups of P. M. Cohn [C]).

1.4. First application. Subgroups of $H_q$ are called *congruence* if they contain some principal congruence subgroups. It is well known that the commutator subgroup of the modular group $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ is congruence of level 12. As an application of the index formula given in (1.5), we prove that (unlike the modular group case) the commutator subgroup of $H_5$ is not congruence (Section 7). Two subgroups $A$ and $B$ of $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ are *commensurable* with each other if $A \cap B$ is of finite index in both $A$ and $B$. As the commutator subgroups of $SL(2,\mathbb{Z}) = H_3, H_4$ and $H_6$ are congruence (see Appendix C), our study suggests the following conjecture.

**Conjecture.** The commutator subgroup of $H_q$ is congruence if and only if $H_q$ is commensurable with the modular group.

A weaker version of the above conjecture is

**Conjecture.** Let $q \geq 5$ be a prime. Then the commutator subgroup of $H_q$ is not congruence.

1.5. Second application. Let $f$ be a function (form) defined on $\mathcal{H}/\Gamma(n)$, where $\mathcal{H}$ is the union of the upper half plane and $\mathbb{Q} \cup \{\infty\}$ and $\Gamma(n)$ is the principal congruence subgroup of the modular group $\Gamma = PSL(2,\mathbb{Z})$. Then $\sum f|_\sigma$ is a function (form) of $\mathcal{H}/\Gamma$, where the sum is over the set of a complete set of coset representatives of $\Gamma/\Gamma(n)$). With the help of our proof of the index formula (1.5), a complete set of coset representatives of $G_5/G(\pi)$ can be determined. As a consequence, the above construction for functions (forms) of $\mathcal{H}/\Gamma$ can be extended to $G_5$ as well. Note that the space of forms for $G_q$ has positive dimension (Theorem 3 of Ogg [O]).

1.6. The remaining of this article is organised as follows. In Section 2, we give results related to $SL(2,\mathbb{Z}[\lambda_q])$ and $[H_5 : H(A)]$ where $A$ is a prime ideal. Section 3 is devoted to the study of some technical lemmas. In particular, we give a set of generators for $G(2)$ (Lemma 3.1) which will be used in the determination of the index $[H(2) : H(4)]$. Section 4 investigates the index formula for $H(A)$. It is shown that the index formula for the principal congruence subgroup (1.4) is multiplicative. Section 5 gives the closed form of the index $[H_5 : H(A_i)]$. The main result of this article can be found in Section 6. Throughout the sections, we set $\lambda = 2cos\,\pi/5$.

## 2. $SL(2,\mathbb{Z}[\lambda_q])$

2.1. For $A$ an ideal of $\mathbb{Z}[\lambda_q]$, we may also define the principal congruence subgroup $L(A)$ of $L_q = SL(2,\mathbb{Z}[\lambda_q])$ analogously. The formula for the index of the principal congruence subgroup in $L_q$ is easily calculated as the modular group case (see [Sh]);

$$[L_q : L(A)] = N(A)^3 \prod_{P|A} (1 - N(P)^{-2}), \tag{2.1}$$

where $N(A)$ denotes the absolute norm of $A$ in $\mathbb{Z}[\lambda_q]$ and the product is over the set of all prime ideals $P$ that divide $A$. Let $\pi \in \mathbb{Z}[\lambda_q]$. It follows easily from (2.1) that

$$[H(\pi^n) : H(\pi^{n+1})] \leq N(\pi)^3. \tag{2.2}$$

2.2. Recall that $\lambda = 2cos\,\pi/5$. Let $\pi$ be a prime ideal of $\mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$. Denoted by $p$ the smallest positive rational prime in $\pi$. Applying our results in [LLT2], one has (i) $[H_5 : H(\pi)] = 10$ if $p = 2$, (ii) $[H_5 : H(\pi)] = 120$ if $p = 3$ or 5 (iii) $[H_5 : H(\pi)] = (p-1)p(p+1)$ if $p = 10k \pm 1$, (iv) $[H_5 : H(\pi)] = (p^2-1)p^2(p^2+1)$ if $3 \neq p = 10k \pm 3$.

## 3. Technical lemmas

3.1. **Results of Kulkarni.** In [K], Kulkarni applied a combination of geometric and arithmetic methods to show that one can produce a set of independent generators in the sense of Rademacher for the congruence subgroups of the modular group, in fact for all subgroups of finite indices. His method can be generalised to all subgroups of finite indices of the Hecke groups $G_q = H_q/Z_2$, where $q$ is a prime. See [LLT1] for detail (Propositions 8-10 and section 3 of [LLT1]). By Section 3 of [LLT1], we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.1.** *The principal congruence subgroup $G(2) = H(2)Z_2/Z_2$ has index 10 in $G_5H_5/Z_2$. A set of generators is given by*

$$\Omega_2 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2\lambda \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2\lambda & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1+2\lambda & 2+2\lambda \\ 2\lambda & 1+2\lambda \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1+2\lambda & 2\lambda \\ 2+2\lambda & 1+2\lambda \end{pmatrix} \right\}. \tag{3.1}$$

3.2. **Reduced form.** $a/b$ is in reduced form if $\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix}$ is a column vector of some $\sigma \in H_5$. For any $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$ such that the greatest common divisor of $a$ and $b$ is a unit, applying results of Leutbecher ([L1, L2]), there exists a unique $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $a\lambda^n/b\lambda^n$ is in reduced form. we shall now give an algorithm that enables us to determine the reduced factor $n = e(a/b)$.

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}[\lambda] \setminus \{0\}$ be given such that the greatest common divisor of $a$ and $b$ is a unit. Then there exists a unique rational integer $q$ such that

(i) $a = (q\lambda)b + r$,
(ii) $-|b\lambda|/2 < r \leq |b\lambda|/2$.

We call such a division algorithm **pseudo-Euclidean** (see [R] for more details). In terms of matrices, the above can be written as

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -q\lambda \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} r \\ b \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.2}$$

Note that $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -q\lambda \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in H_5$. Applying the pseudo Euclidean algorithm repeatedly, one has,

$$\begin{aligned} a &= (q_1\lambda)b + r_1\,, \\ b &= (q_2\lambda)r_1 + r_2\,, \\ r_1 &= (q_2\lambda)r_2 + r_3\,, \\ &\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots \\ &\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots \\ r_{k+1} &= (q_{k+2}\lambda)r_{k+2} + 0\,. \end{aligned}$$

The finiteness of the algorithm is governed by the fact that the set of cusps of $H_5$ is $\mathbb{Q}[\lambda] \cup \{\infty\}$. Note that in terms of matrices, the above can be written as

$$\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} = A \begin{pmatrix} r_{k+2} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.3}$$

where $A \in H_5$. It is clear that $\gcd(a, b) = \gcd(b, r_1) = \cdots = \gcd(r_{k+1}, r_{k+2}) = r_{k+2}$ is a unit ($a$ and $b$ are coprime). As $\lambda$ is a primitive unit, there exists $e(a/b) \in \mathbb{Z} \cup \{0\}$ such that $r_{k+2} = \lambda^{-e(a/b)}$. Multiplying (3.3) by $\lambda^{e(a/b)}$, one has

$$\begin{pmatrix} a\lambda^{e(a/b))} \\ b\lambda^{e(a/b)} \end{pmatrix} = A \begin{pmatrix} \pm 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.4}$$

Since $A \in H_5$ and $\pm 1/0$ is a reduced form, we conclude that $a\lambda^{e(a/b)}/b\lambda^{e(a/b)}$ is the reduced form of $a/b$. We state without proof the following lemma (Proposition 6 of [LLT1]).

**Lemma 3.2.** $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in H_5$ *if and only if* $ad - bc = 1$ *and $a/c$, $b/d$ are in reduced forms.*

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let $p \in \mathbb{N}$ be an odd prime and let $a = 2\lambda^2$, $c = p\lambda^3$. For every $m \in \mathbb{Z}$,*

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - acm\lambda & a^2 m\lambda \\ -c^2 m\lambda & 1 + acm\lambda \end{pmatrix} \subseteq H(m). \tag{3.5}$$

*Proof.* The reduced form of $2/p\lambda$ is $2\lambda^2/p\lambda^3$. By the definition of reduced form, there exists some $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$ such that

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} 2\lambda^2 & u \\ p\lambda^3 & v \end{pmatrix} \in H_5. \tag{3.6}$$

As a consequence, $XT^mX^{-1} \in H_5$ for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note that the matrix form of $XT^mX^{-1}$ is given by (3.5). □

3.3. **Some matrices of $H_5$.** By Lemma 3.2, one can show easily that $H_5$ contains the following matrices which will be used for our study.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1+2\lambda & 2+2\lambda \\ 2\lambda & 1+2\lambda \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & \lambda+2 \\ \lambda & 2\lambda+1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda & \lambda \\ -2\lambda & 2\lambda+1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 3\lambda+2 & -2\lambda-3 \\ 4\lambda+3 & -4\lambda-2 \end{pmatrix} \in H_5. \tag{3.7}$$

3.4. A key proposition (Proposition 4.3) in our study of the index formula requires the existence of $\sigma \in H(p)$ such that $\sigma \equiv \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & p \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right) (mod\, p^2)$. The matrices we introduced in 3.3 will be used in Section 5 for that purpose. It is perhaps worthwhile to point out that we obtain the above matrices not completely random but by the study of the fundamental domains of the subgroups $G(p)$. See [LLT1] for more detail.

## 4. $[H_5 : H(\tau)]$ is multiplicative

In this section, we investigate the index formula for the principal congruence subgroup (1.4) and prove that the index formula is multiplicative (Lemma 4.1). Note that the index formula for the inhomogeneous Hecke group $G_q$ is not multiplicative.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let $\tau$, $\pi \in \mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$ and let $a$ and $b$ be the smallest positive rational integers in the ideals $(\tau)$ and $(\pi)$ respectively. Suppose that $gcd(a, b) = 1$. Then $H_5 = H(\tau)H(\pi)$ and $[H_5 : H(\tau\pi)] = [H_5 : H(\tau)][H_5 : H(\pi)]$.*

*Proof.* Let $K = H(\tau)H(\pi)$. It is clear that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & a\lambda \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b\lambda \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in K. \tag{4.1}$$

Since $\gcd(a, b) = 1$, there exists $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $am + bn = 1$. As a consequence, we have

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a\lambda \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^m \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b\lambda \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \lambda \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in K. \tag{4.2}$$

Since $H_5$ is generated by $S$ and $T$, every element in $H_5$ is a word $w(S, T)$. Since $T \in K$, $SK = KS$ ($K$ is normal), $w(S, T)K$ is $S^iK$ for some $i$ ($S$ has order 4 in $H_5$). Hence the index of $K$ in $H_5$ is either 1, 2 or 4. This implies that $(ST)^4 \in K$. Since the order of $ST$ is 5, we conclude that $ST \in K$. It follows that $ST, T \in K$. As a consequence, $S \in K$. Hence $K = H_5$. The lemma now can be proved by Second Isomorphism Theorem and the fact $H(\pi) \cap H(\tau) = H(\pi\tau)$. □

**Remark 4.2.** In the case $q = 5$, $\mathbb{Z}[\lambda_5]$ is a principal ideal domain. As a consequence, every ideal of $\mathbb{Z}[\lambda_5]$ takes the form $(\tau)$ for some $\tau$.

**Proposition 4.3.** *Let $p \in \mathbb{N}$ be an odd prime. Suppose that $H(p)$ contains an element $\sigma$ such that $\sigma \equiv \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & p \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right) (mod\, p^2)$. Then $[H(p^n) : H(p^{n+1})] = p^6$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $[H_5 : H(p^n)] = p^{6(n-1)}[H_5 : H(p)]$.*

*Proof.* Let $X = \sigma^{p^{n-1}}$, $A = T^{p^n} \in H(p^n)$. It follows that $X \equiv \left( \begin{smallmatrix} 1 & p^n \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right) (mod\, p^{n+1})$ and $A = \left( \begin{smallmatrix} 1 & p^n\lambda \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right)$. As a consequence, $H(p^n)$ contains $A, SAS^{-1}, TSAS^{-1}T^{-1}$ and $X, SXS^{-1}, TSXS^{-1}T^{-1}$. The above matrices modulo $p^{n+1}$ are given by

$$\left( \begin{smallmatrix} 1 & p^n\lambda \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right), \left( \begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -p^n\lambda & 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right), \left( \begin{smallmatrix} 1-p^n\lambda^2 & p^n\lambda^3 \\ -p^n\lambda & 1+p^n\lambda^2 \end{smallmatrix} \right), \left( \begin{smallmatrix} 1 & p^n \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right), \left( \begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -p^n & 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right), \left( \begin{smallmatrix} 1-p^n\lambda & p^n\lambda^2 \\ -p^n & 1+p^n\lambda \end{smallmatrix} \right).$$

Applying Lemma A in Appendix A, they generate an elementary abelian group of order $p^6$. Hence $[H(p^n) : H(p^{n+1})] \geq p^6$. By (2.2), $[H(p^n) : H(p^{n+1})] = p^6$. □

**Corollary 4.3.** *Suppose that $H(4)$ contains an element $\sigma$ such that $\sigma \equiv \left( \begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right) (mod\, 8)$. Then $[H(2^n) : H(2^{n+1})] = 2^6$ for $n \geq 2$ and $[H_5 : H(2^n)] = 2^{6(n-2)}[H_5 : H(4)]$.*

**Remark.** In the case $p = 2$, $H(2)$ possesses no $\sigma$ such that $\sigma \equiv \left( \begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right) (mod\, 4)$. Consequently, Corollary 4.3 cannot be improved to $H(2)$.

## 5. The index $[H_5 : H(\pi)]$ where $N(\pi)$ is a power of a prime

Throughout the section, $N(\pi)$ is a power of a rational prime $p$. There are five cases to consider, (i) $p = 5$, (ii) $p = 2$, (iii) $p = 3$, (iv) $p \neq 3$ is of the form $10k \pm 3$, (v) $p$ is of the form $10k \pm 1$.

5.1. **$N(\pi)$ is a power of 5.** Let $\tau = 2 + \lambda$. The case $p = 5$ is slightly different as $5 = \tau^2\lambda^{-2}$ ramified totally in $\mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$. As a consequence, $\pi = \tau^n$ for some $n$. We shall first determine the order of $H(5^n)/H(5^{n+1})$. Lemma 3.3 ($p = 5$, $m = 5$) implies that $S \in H(5)$, where

$$\left( \begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 12 \cdot 5 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right) \equiv \left( \begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 20\lambda^5 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right) \equiv S \ (mod\ 25). \tag{5.1}$$

By Proposition 4.3, $[H(5^m) : H(5^{m+1})] = 5^6$ if $m \geq 1$. By (2.2), $[H(\tau^m) : H(\tau^{m+1})] \leq 5^3$. Hence

$$[H(\tau^m) : H(\tau^{m+1})] = 5^3 \text{ for } m \geq 2. \tag{5.2}$$

In the case $m = 1$, applying our results in subsection 3.3, $H(\lambda + 2)$ contains the following matrix.

$$a = \left( \begin{smallmatrix} -11\lambda - 6 & 10\lambda + 5 \\ 4\lambda + 3 & -4\lambda - 2 \end{smallmatrix} \right) = T^{-2} \left( \begin{smallmatrix} 3\lambda + 2 & -2\lambda - 3 \\ 4\lambda + 3 & -4\lambda - 2 \end{smallmatrix} \right) \in H(\lambda + 2). \tag{5.3}$$

Let $J = \left( \begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix} \right)$. Then $J \in AutH_5$. Let $\Delta = \{a, b = SaS^{-1}, c = JaJ^{-1}\} \subseteq H(\tau)$. The matrices in $\Delta$ modulo 5 are given by

$$a \equiv I + (\lambda + 2) \left( \begin{smallmatrix} 4 & 0 \\ 4 & 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right), b \equiv I + (\lambda + 2) \left( \begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 4 \end{smallmatrix} \right), c \equiv I + (\lambda + 2) \left( \begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 0 & 4 \end{smallmatrix} \right). \tag{5.4}$$

$\Delta$ generates a group of order $5^3$ modulo 5. By (2.2), $H(\tau)/H(5) \cong \langle \Delta \rangle$ and $|H(\tau)/H(5)| = 5^3$. In summary, one has

$$[H(\tau^m) : H(\tau^{m+1})] = 5^3 \text{ for } m \geq 1. \tag{5.5}$$

Recall that $[H_5 : H(\tau)] = 120$ and that $N(x)$ is the absolute norm of $x$. It follows from (5.5) that

$$[H_5 : H(\tau^m)] = 120 \cdot 5^{3(m-1)} = N(\tau^m)^3(1 - N(\tau)^{-2}) \text{ for } m \geq 1. \tag{5.6}$$

5.2. $N(\pi)$ **is a power of 2.** Since 2 is a prime in $\mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$, $\pi = 2^m$. Note first that $[H_5 : H(2)] = 10$. We shall now determine the index $[G(2) : G(4)]$. Applying Lemma 3.1, a set of generators of $G(2)$ is given by

$$\Omega_2 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2\lambda \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2\lambda & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1+2\lambda & 2+2\lambda \\ 2\lambda & 1+2\lambda \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1+2\lambda & 2\lambda \\ 2+2\lambda & 1+2\lambda \end{pmatrix} \right\}. \tag{5.7}$$

Since $\Omega_2$ generates $G(2)$, one can show by direct calculation that $G(2)/G(4)$ is elementary abelian of order $2^4$. Since $-I \in H(2) - H(4)$, one has $[H(2) : H(4)] = 2^5$. We now study $[H(2^n) : H(2^{n+1})]$ $(n \geq 2)$. By (2.2), $[H(2^n) : H(2^{n+1}] \leq 2^6$. By our results in subsection 3.3,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -4\lambda & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -4\lambda \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1+2\lambda & 2+2\lambda \\ 2\lambda & 1+2\lambda \end{pmatrix}^2 \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in H(4)/H(8). \tag{5.8}$$

By Corollary 4.4,

$$[H_5 : H(2)] = 10, [H_5 : H(2^n)] = 5 \cdot 2^{6(n-1)} \text{ for } n \geq 2. \tag{5.9}$$

5.3. $N(\pi)$ **is a power of 3.** Since $3 \in \mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$ is a prime, $\pi = 3^m$. Note first that $[H_3 : H(3)] = 120$. Applying our results in subsection 3.3,

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & \lambda+2 \\ \lambda & 2\lambda+1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & \lambda \\ -2\lambda & 2\lambda+1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 9\lambda+4 & -2\lambda-3 \\ 9\lambda+6 & -3\lambda-2 \end{pmatrix} \in H_5. \tag{5.10}$$

Direct calculation shows that $E_3 = A^{-3} \equiv \left( \begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right) \pmod 9$. Applying Proposition 4.3, we have

$$[H_5 : H(3^m)] = 120 \cdot 3^{6(m-1)} \text{ for } m \geq 1. \tag{5.11}$$

5.4. $N(\pi)$ **is a power of** $p$**, where** $3 \neq p$ **takes the form** $10k \pm 3$**.** It follows that $p$ is a prime in $\mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$ and $\pi = p^n$. Note first that $[H_5 : H(p)] = |SL(2, p^2)|$. By Lemma 3.3 $(m = p)$,

$$T^{-20p} S \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 12p \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in H(p)/H(p^2). \tag{5.12}$$

By Proposition 4.3,

$$[H_5 : H(p^n)] = p^{6(n-1)} |SL(2, p^2)| = N(p^n)^3 (1 - N(p)^{-2}). \tag{5.13}$$

5.5. $N(\pi)$ **is a power of** $p$**, where** $p$ **takes the form** $10k \pm 1$**.** $p$ is not a prime in $\mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$ and $p = \tau\sigma$ where $\tau$ and $\sigma$ are primes in $\mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$. Consequently, $\pi = p^r \tau^s$. By Lemma 3.3 $(m = p)$,

$$T^{-20p} S \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 12p \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in H(p)/H(p^2). \tag{5.14}$$

By Proposition 4.3, $[H(p^m) : H(5, p^{m+1})] = p^6$. It follows immediately from (2.2) that $[H(\tau^m p^n) : H(5, \tau^{m+1} p^n)] = p^3$ for $m \geq 1$. In summary,

$$[H(p^m) : H(p^{m+1})] = p^6, \ [H(\tau^m p^n) : H(\tau^{m+1} p^n)] = p^3 \text{ for } m \geq 1. \tag{5.15}$$

**Lemma 5.1.** *Let $p = 10k \pm 1 \in \mathbb{N}$ be a rational prime and let $p = \tau\sigma$ where $\tau$ and $\sigma$ are primes in $\mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$. Then $[H_5 : H(p)] = [H_5 : H(\sigma)][H_5 : H(\tau)] = ((p-1)p(p+1))^2$.*

*Proof.* Let $H_0(p)$ be given as in (1.2). Applying results of [CLLT] and [LLT2],

(i) $[H_5 : H_0(p)] = (p+1)^2$ (Lemma 1 of [CLLT]),

(ii) $H(\sigma) \cap H(\tau) = H(p)$, $[H_5 : H(\sigma)] = [H_5 : H(\tau)] = (p-1)p(p+1)$,

(iii) $G_5/G(\sigma) \cong G_5/G(\tau) \cong PSL(2, p)$ is simple (Corollary 2 of [LLT2]).

By (i) and (ii) of the above, $H(\sigma) \neq H(\tau)$. By (iii) of the above, $H(\sigma)H(\tau) = H_5$. Applying Second Isomorphism Theorem, one has $[H_5 : H(p)] = [H_5 : H(\sigma)][H_5 : H(\tau)]$. Hence $[H_5 : H(p)] = ((p-1)p(p+1))^2$. □

The index $[H_5 : H(p^r\tau^s)]$ can be determined by applying (5.15) and Lemma 5.1. In summary,

$$[H_5 : H(\pi)] = N(\pi)^3 \prod_{P|(\pi)} (1 - N(P)^{-2} \tag{5.16}$$

## 6. The Main Results

Let $X \in \mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$. Consider the decomposition $X = \prod x_i$, where $N(x_i)$ is the power of a rational prime $p_i$ such that $\gcd(p_i, p_j) = 1$ for all $i \neq j$. By Lemma 4.1, $[H_5 : H(X)] = \prod[H_5 : H(x_i)]$. The index $[H_5 : H(x_i)]$ can be determined by applying (5.6), (5.9), (5.11), (5.13), and (5.16). In short, we have, if $\gcd(N(\pi), 6) = 1$, then

$$[H_5 : H(2^a 3^b \pi)] = I_a J_b \, N(\pi)^3 \prod_{P|(\pi)} (1 - N(P)^{-2}, \tag{6.1}$$

where the product is over the set of all prime ideals that divide $\pi$, $I_0 = 1$, $I_1 = 10$, $I_a = 5 \cdot 2^{6(a-1)}$ if $a \geq 2$, $J_0 = 1$, $J_b = 120 \cdot 3^{6(b-1)}$ if $b \geq 1$. As a corollary of (6.1), one has the following.

**Corollary 6.1.** *Let $A$ be an ideal. Then the natural homomorphism $f : H_5 \to SL(2, \mathbb{Z}[\lambda]/A)$ is surjective if and only if the norm of $A$ is prime to 6.*

## 7. Application : The commutator subgroup of $H_5$ is not congruence

7.1. Applying our results in subsection 5.1, we have the following.

(i) $H_5/H(5) \cong H(\lambda+2)/H(5) \cdot H_5/H(\lambda+2) \cong E_{5^3} SL(2,5)$, where $H(\lambda+2)/H(5) \cong E_{3^5} \cong \mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_5$ is the elementary abelian group of order $5^3$ and $H_5/H(\lambda+2) \cong SL(2,5)$, $H(\lambda+2)/H(5) \cong \langle a, b, c\rangle = \langle \Delta \rangle$, where

$$a \equiv I + (\lambda+2)\begin{pmatrix} 4 & 0 \\ 4 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, b \equiv I + (\lambda+2)\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 4 \end{pmatrix}, c \equiv I + (\lambda+2)\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 0 & 4 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{7.1}$$

7.2. $H_5^5$ and $H_5'$ are not congruence. Denote by $H_5^5$ the subgroup of $H_5$ generated by all the elements of the forms $x^5$, $x \in H_5$. $H_5^5$ is known as the power subgroup of $H_5$. It is clear that $H_5^5$ is normal and that $S, T^5 \in H_5^5$. Further, the index of $H_5^5$ in $H_5$ is 5. Since $H_5/H_5^5$ is abelian, $H_5^5$ contains the commutator subgroup $H_5'$.

**Lemma 7.1.** *Suppose that $H_5^5$ is congruence. Then $H(5) \subseteq H_5^5$.*

*Proof.* Suppose that $H_5^5$ is congruence. Then $H(5^m r) \subseteq H_5^5$ for some $5^m r \in \mathbb{N}$, where $m \geq 1$, $\gcd(5, r) = 1$. Let $K$ be the smallest normal subgroup that contains $H(5^m r)$ and $T^{5^m}$. It is clear that $K \subseteq H(5^m) \cap H_5^5$. Since $\gcd(5, r) = 1$, $T^{5^m} \in K$ and $T^r \in H(r)$,

$$T \in KH(r) \subseteq H(5^m)H(r). \tag{7.2}$$

Note that $KH(r)$ is a normal subgroup of $H_5$ and that $T \in KH(r)$. Applying the proof of Lemma 4.1, one has $H_5 = KH(r)$. Note that $H(5^m)H(r) = H_5$ and that $H(5^m) \cap H(r) = H(5^m r)$. By second isomorphism theorem,

$$K/H(5^m r) \times H(r)/H(5^m r) = KH(r)/H(5^m r) = H_5/H(5^m r) = H(5^m)/H(5^m r) \times H(r)/H(5^m r).$$

Hence $K = H(5^m)$. As a consequence, $H(5^m) = K \subseteq H_5^5$. Let $m$ be the smallest positive integer such that $H(5^m) \subseteq H_5^5$. Suppose that $m \geq 2$. Applying our main result (6.1),

$$H_5/H(5^m) \cong SL(2, \mathbb{Z}[\lambda]/5^m) \cong SL(2, \mathbb{Z}[\lambda])/L(5^m) \tag{7.3}$$

(see Section 2 for notation). Hence $H_5/H(5^m)$ and $SL(2,\mathbb{Z}[\lambda])/L(5^m)$ have the same coset representatives. In particular, there exists $\sigma \in H_5$ such that

$$\sigma H(5^m) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} H(5^m). \tag{7.4}$$

Since $m \geq 2$, $\sigma^{5^{m-1}} \in H_5^5$. As a consequence, $H_5^5/H(5^m)$ contains the following elements.

$$T^{5^{m-1}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 5^{m-1}\lambda \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \sigma^{5^{m-1}} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 5^{m-1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in H(5^{m-1})/H(5^m) \cap H_5^5/H(5^m). \tag{7.5}$$

Now we consider the subgroup $V$ of $H_5^5/H(5^m)$ generated by $T^{5^{m-1}}$ and $\sigma^{5^{m-1}}$. Applying the proof of Proposition 4.3, $V = H(5^{m-1})/H(5^m)$. In particular, $H(5^{m-1}) \subseteq H_5^5$. This contradicts the minimality of $m$. Hence $m = 1$ and $H(5) \subseteq H_5^5$. □

**Proposition 7.2.** *$H_5^5$ and $H_5'$ are not congruence.*

*Proof.* Since $H_5' \subseteq H_5^5$, it suffices to show that $H_5^5$ is not congruence. Suppose that $H_5^5$ is congruence. By Lemma 7.1, $H(5) \subseteq H_5^5$. Since $H_5/H(\lambda+2) \cong SL(2,5)$ has no normal subgroup of index 5 and $H_5^5$ has index 5 in $H_5$, $H(\lambda+2)$ is not a subgroup of $H_5^5$. This implies that $H_5^5 H(\lambda+2) = H_5$. By Second Isomorphism Theorem, $|H(\lambda+2)/[H_5^5 \cap H(\lambda+2)]| = 5$ and $|[H_5^5 \cap H(\lambda+2)]/H(5)| = 5^2$. Note that $E_{5^3}SL(2,5) \cong H_5/H(5)$ acts on $D = [H_5^5 \cap H(\lambda+2)]/H(5) \cong \mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_5$ by conjugation. Note also that $D$ is a subgroup of $\langle\Delta\rangle$ (see (7.1)). Recall that

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \ \mathrm{Aut}\, H_5. \tag{7.7}$$

$D$ is invariant under the conjugation of $J$ and every element of $H_5$ (in particular, $S$ and $T$). However, one sees by direct calculation that the only nontrivial subgroup of $\langle\Delta\rangle$ invariant under $J$, $S$, and $T$ is $\langle\Delta\rangle$ itself (see Appendix B). A contradiction. Hence $H_5^5$ is not congruence. □

## 8. Appendix A

**Lemma A.** *Let $p \in \mathbb{N}$ be a prime. Then $\Omega$ modulo $p^{n+1}$ generates a group of order $p^6$, where $\Omega$ is given as follows.*

$$\Omega \equiv \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & p^n\lambda^i \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -p^n\lambda^i & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1-p^n\lambda^{i+1} & p^n\lambda^{i+2} \\ -p^n\lambda^i & 1+p^n\lambda^{i+1} \end{pmatrix} : i = 0, 1 \right\}. \tag{A1}$$

*Proof.* Put the matrices in $(A1)$ into the forms $I + p^n U$ and $I + p^n V$. One sees easily that

$$(I + p^n U)(I + p^n V) \equiv I + p^n(U + V) \pmod{p^{n+1}}. \tag{A2}$$

Hence $\Omega$ modulo $p^{n+1}$ generates an abelian group. Note that $(B2)$ makes the multiplication of $I + p^n U$ and $I + p^n V$ into the addition of $U$ and $V$. In order to show $\Omega$ generates a group of order $p^6$ modulo $p^{n+1}$, we consider the following groups.

$$M = \left\langle X_i = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & p^n\lambda^i \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, Y_i = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -p^n\lambda^i & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle, \ N = \left\langle Z_i = \begin{pmatrix} 1-p^n\lambda^{i+1} & p^n\lambda^{i+2} \\ -p^n\lambda^i & 1+p^n\lambda^{i+1} \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle.$$

It is easy to see that $M$ and $N$ are abelian groups of order $p^4$ and $p^2$ respectively. Applying $(A2)$ and the fact that $N$ is abelian, elements in $N$ take the following simple form

$$Z_0^{c_0} Z_1^{c_1} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 - p^n \sum_{i=0}^{1} c_i\lambda^{i+1} & p^n \sum_{i=0}^{1} c_i\lambda^{i+2} \\ -p^n \sum_{i=0}^{1} c_i\lambda^i & 1 + p^n \sum_{i=0}^{1} c_i\lambda^{i+1} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{A3}$$

Note that we may assume that $0 \leq c_i \leq p-1$. Similar to $(A3)$, elements in $M$ take the form

$$X_0^{a_0} X_1^{a_1} Y_0^{b_0} Y_1^{b_1} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & p^n \sum_{i=0}^{1} a_i\lambda^i \\ -p^n \sum_{i=0}^{1} b_i\lambda^i & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{A4}$$

Suppose that $Z_0^{c_0}Z_1^{c_1} \equiv X_0^{a_0}X_1^{a_1}Y_0^{b_0}Y_1^{b_1}$ modulo $p^{n+1}$. An easy study of the (22)-entries of $(A3)$ and $(A4)$ implies that $1 + p^n\sum_{i=0}^{1} c_i\lambda^{i+1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^{n+1}}$. Hence $c_0 = c_1 = 0$. As a consequence, $M \cap N = \{1\}$. Hence $|\langle\Omega\rangle| = |M||N| = p^6$. □

## 9. Appendix B

**Lemma B1.** *Let $\pi = \lambda + 2$ and let $\Delta = \{a, b, c\}$, where $a, b, c$ are given as in (7.1). Then the only nontrivial subgroup of $\langle\Delta\rangle$ invariant under the action of $S, T$ and $J$ is $\langle\Delta\rangle$ .*

*Proof.* Since $(I + \pi U)(I + \pi V) \equiv I + \pi(U + V) \pmod 5$, multiplication of $(I + \pi U)(I + \pi V)$ can be transformed into addition of $U$ and $V$. This makes the multiplication of matrices $a$, $b$, and $c$ easy. Consequently, one has

$$r = (ac)(ab) \equiv I + \pi\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, s = (ac)(ab)^{-1} \equiv I + \pi\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, t = bc \equiv I + \pi\begin{pmatrix} -3 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is clear that $\langle\Delta\rangle = \langle a, b, c\rangle = \langle r, s, t\rangle$. Let $A, B \in G_5$. Set $A^B = BAB^{-1}$. Direct calculation shows that

$$r^S = s^{-1}, r^T = rs^{-1}t^2, r^J = s, s^S = r^{-1}, s^T = s, s^J = r, t^S = t^{-1}, t^T = st, t^J = t^{-1}. \quad (B1)$$

Denoted by $M$ a nontrivial subgroup of $\langle r, s, t\rangle$ that is invariant under the conjugation of $J$, $S$ and $T$. Let $1 \neq \sigma = r^i s^j t^k \in M$. One sees easily that

(i) If $k \not\equiv 0 \pmod 5$, without loss of generality, we may assume that $k = 1$. Then $\sigma^J\sigma^S = t^{-2} \in M$. It follows that $t \in M$. Hence $t^T = st \in M$. Consequently, $s \in M$. This implies $s^S = r^{-1} \in M$. In summary, $r, s, t \in M$.

(ii) If $k \equiv 0 \pmod 5$, then $\sigma$ takes the form $r^i s^j$. Suppose that $i \equiv 0 \pmod 5$. Then $1 \neq s^j \in M$. It follows that $s \in M$. Consequently, $r = s^T \in M$. Hence $rs^{-1}t^2 = r^T \in M$. As a consequence, $t \in M$. In summary, $r, s, t \in M$. In the case $i \not\equiv 0 \pmod 5$, we may assume that $i = 1$. Hence $rs^j \in M$. It follows that $(rs^j)^T(rs^j)^{-1} = s^{-1}t^2 \in M$. Consequently, $(s^{-1}t^2)^T = st^2 \in M$. This implies that $(s^{-1}t^2)(st^2) = t^4 \in M$. Hence $t \in M$. One now sees easily that $r, s, t \in M$.

Hence the only nontrivial subgroup of $\langle\Delta\rangle$ invariant under $J$, $S$ and $T$ is $\langle\Delta\rangle$. □

## 10. Appendix C

Applying results of [K] and [LLT1], $\{S, ST\}$ (see (1.1) for notation) is a set of independent generators of $G_q$. It follows that the commutator subgroup $G_q' = [G_q, G_q]$ of $G_q$ has index $2q$ and $G_q/G_q' \cong \mathbb{Z}_q \times \mathbb{Z}_2$.

**C1**. Let

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \sqrt{2} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, L = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\sqrt{2} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (C1)$$

Then $\overline{G} = G_4/G(4) = \langle T, L, S\rangle$ has order 32 (Parson [P]). Let $\overline{V}$ be the subgroup of $G_4/G(4)$ generated by $TL$ and $LT$. It follows by direct calculation that $\overline{V}$ is a normal subgroup of $G_4/G(4)$ and that $\overline{G}/\overline{V} \cong \mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. Let $V$ be the inverse image of $\overline{V}$ in $G_4$. Then $G_4/V \cong \mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. Since the commutator subgroup $[G_4, G_4]$ of $G_4$ has index 8 in $G_4$, we conclude that $[G_4, G_4] = V$. Hence $[G_4, G_4]$ is congruence as $G(4) \subseteq V = [G_4, G_4]$.

**C2**. Let

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \sqrt{3} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, L = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\sqrt{3} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (C2)$$

Applying results of Parson [P], $G_6/G(2) \cong D_{12}$ has order 12. Hence $G_6/G(2)$ has a normal subgroup $\overline{U}$ of index 2. Further,

$$G_6/G(3) = \langle T, L, S : T^3 = L^3 = S^2 = 1, TL = LT, STS^{-1} = L\rangle \cong (\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3) \cdot \mathbb{Z}_2. \quad (C3)$$

Let $\overline{V}$ be the subgroup of $\overline{G} = G_6/G(3)$ generated by $TL^{-1}$. Then $\overline{V}$ is normal in $\overline{G}$ and $\overline{G}/\overline{V} \cong \mathbb{Z}_6$. Let $U$ and $V$ be the inverse images of $\overline{U}$ and $\overline{V}$ in $G_6$. By second isomorphism theorem, $G(6) \lhd UV \lhd G_6$ and $G/UV \cong \mathbb{Z}_6 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. Since the commutator subgroup $[G_6, G_6]$ of $G_6$ has index 12 in $G_6$, we conclude that $[G_6, G_6] = UV$. Hence $[G_6, G_6]$ is congruence as $G(6) \subseteq UV = [G_6, G_6]$.

**C3**. Let $\Gamma(n)$ be the principal congruence subgroup of the modular group $\Gamma = PSL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ of level $n$. Then $\Gamma/\Gamma(2) \cong S_3$ has a normal subgroup of index 2 and $\Gamma/\Gamma(3) \cong A_4$ has a normal subgroup of index 3. It follows that $\overline{\Gamma} = \Gamma/\Gamma(6)$ has a normal subgroup $\overline{V}$ of index 6 and $\overline{\Gamma}/\overline{V} \cong \mathbb{Z}_6$. Let $V$ be the inverse image of $\overline{V}$ in $\Gamma$. Then $\Gamma/V \cong \mathbb{Z}_6$. Since the commutator subgroup $\Gamma'$ of $\Gamma$ has index 6 in $\Gamma$, we conclude that $\Gamma' = V$. Hence $\Gamma'$ is congruence as $\Gamma(6) \subseteq V = \Gamma'$.

## References


[C] P. M. Cohn, *On the structure of $GL_2$ of a ring*, Publ. Math. I.H.E.S. no. 30 (1966), 5-53.

[CLLT] S. P. Chan, M. L. Lang, C. H. Lim, S. P. Tan, *The invariants of the congruence subgroups $G_0(P)$ of the Hecke group*, Illinois J. of Math. 38 (1994), $636 - 652$.

[F] B. Fine, *Algebraic Theory of the Bianchi Groups*, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applies Mathematics, 1989.

[K] R.S. Kulkarni *An arithmetic-Geometric method in the study of subgroups of the modular group*, Amer. J Math. 113 (1991), 1053-1134.

[LL1] C. L. Lang and M. L. Lang, *Wohlfahrt's Theorem for the Hecke group $G_5$*, Preprint.

[LL2] C. L. Lang and M. L. Lang, *Wohlfahrt's Theorem and index formula for $SL_2$ and elementary matrix groups*, Preprint.

[LLT1] M. L. Lang, C. H. Lim, S. P. Tan, *Independent generators for congruence subgroups of Hecke groups*, Math. Z. 220 (1995), $569 - 594$.

[LLT2] M. L. Lang, C. H. Lim, S. P. Tan, *Principal congruence subgroups of the Hecke groups*, Journal of Number Theory , 85 (2000), $220 - 230$.

[L1] A. Leutbecher, *Uber die Heckeschen Gruppen $G(\lambda)$*, Abh. Math. Sem. Hambg. 31 (1967), $199 - 205$.

[L2] A. Leutbecher, *Uber die Heckeschen Gruppen $G(\lambda)$, $II$*, Math. Ann. 211 (1974), $63 - 68$.

[O] A. Ogg, *Modular forms and Dirichlet series*, W. A. Benjamin, 1969.

[P] A. Parson, *generalized Kloosterman sums and the Fourier coefficients of cusp forms*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 217 (1976), $329 - 350$.

[R] D. Rosen, *A class of continued fractions associated with certain properly discontinuous groups*, Duke Math. J. 21 (1954), 549-563.

[Sh] G. Shimura, *Introduction to the arithmetic theory of automorphic functions*, Iwanami Shoten, Publishers and Princeton University Press 1971.



Cheng Lien Lang
Department of Mathematics, I-Shou University, Kaohsiung, Taiwan, Republic of China.
cllang@isu.edu.tw

Mong Lung Lang
Singapore 669608, Republic of Singapore.
lang2to46@gmail.com